FILED 2019 Apr-15 PM 04:31

U.S. DISTRICT COURT N.D. OF ALABAMA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA SOUTHERN DIVISION

Master File No. 2:13-CV-20000-RDP

IN RE: BLUE CROSS BLUE SHIELD ANTITRUST LITIGATION

MDL. NO. 2406

This document relates to: Subscriber Track cases

[UNREDACTED – CONTAINS OUTSIDE COUNSEL ONLY MATERALS]

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE EXPERT REPORTS OF DR. DANIEL RUBINFELD AND DR. ARIEL PAKES

Expert Report of Dr. Daniel Rubinfeld

- Daniel Rubinfeld is the Robert L. Bridges Professor of Law and Professor of Economics Emeritus at the University of California, Berkeley and Professor of Law at NYU. He received his Ph.D in economics from M.I.T. in 1972. He served as Deputy Assistant Attorney General at the Antitrust Division of the U.S. Department of Justice from June of 1997 through December of 1998. Dr. Rubinfeld is the co-author of two standard economic textbooks:

 Microeconomics and Econometric Models and Economic Forecasts. He has testified extensively in antitrust cases before both federal courts and agencies. He previously presented a declaration to this Court in support of the Subscriber Plaintiffs' (and in opposition to Defendants') motions for summary judgment. See SX316 (Doc. 1436-100).
- 2. His assignment in the present context was to offer his opinion as to whether economic evidence and methods used to prove liability and anticompetitive impact are common to members of both the proposed Nationwide Injunctive Relief Class and the proposed Alabama Damages Class, as defined in the Subscriber Plaintiffs' motions and in his report. He does not opine on the calculation of damages for the Alabama Damages Class, a task being undertaken by a separate expert, Dr. Ariel Pakes.
- 3. In undertaking his analysis, Dr. Rubinfeld relied on the Court's prior ruling that the Blue Cross Blue Shield Association's ("BCBSA") rules on Exclusive Service Areas ("ESAs") and National Best Efforts ("NBEs") are subject to a *per se* standard of antitrust liability. *In re Blue Cross Blue Shield Antitrust Litig.*, 308 F. Supp. 3d 1241 (N.D. Ala.), *permission to appeal denied*, 2018 WL 7152887 (11th Cir. Dec. 12, 2018). In carrying out his assignment, Dr. Rubinfeld studied numerous prior pleadings, briefs, and expert reports, as well as many documents produced, and depositions taken, in this case.

- 4. Dr. Rubinfeld's ultimate conclusions are that, based on his professional opinion and consistent with fundamental economic principles, the potential adverse effects of the ESAs and NBEs include: (a) higher insurance premiums; (b) lower quality service; (c) a reduction in output; (d) less innovation; and (e) fewer choices among insurance providers both as to services and products. Rubinfeld Report ¶21. He finds that these potential adverse effects can be evaluated on a classwide basis with respect to the both the Nationwide Injunctive Relief Class and the Alabama Damages Class. *Id.* ¶22.
- 5. ESAs and NBE: As Dr. Rubinfeld explains at length, quoting extensively from BCBSA and Blue Plan documents, common evidence can be used to show that ESAs impose a horizontal restraint that is a prohibition on competition based on geography. *Id.* ¶69-73. This restraint inhibits innovation and consumer choice and results in a combination of higher premiums and restricted growth to members of the Subscriber Classes. *Id.* ¶142-161. He finds that common evidence demonstrates that the Blue Plans themselves recognized that the ESAs limit competition. *Id.* ¶74.
- 6. Dr. Rubinfeld also finds that common evidence demonstrates that ESAs interact with NBEs to drastically limit Blue Plans' abilities to grow on an unbranded basis. *Id.* ¶78-82. Again, Dr. Rubinfeld cites to common evidence from BCBSA's own documents consistent with a finding that BCBSA was deeply concerned about Blue Plans circumventing the ESAs and competing in each other's ESAs on an unbranded basis, a risk that was reduced by the creation of NBEs. *Id.* ¶42-59. Dr. Rubinfeld cites to the real-world example of the recent Anthem/Cigna merger trial to demonstrate through common evidence how the NBE limits unbranded growth and disincentivizes investment in unbranded business that could compete with Blue Plans. *Id.* ¶83-105.

- Alabama Damages Class: Dr. Rubinfeld finds that each element of a restraint of trade in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act (15 U.S.C.§1) can be proven with common evidence. *Id.* ¶¶114-61. He finds that common evidence can be used to show that both of these restraints are agreements to limit or eliminate competition among Blue Plans that apply to all members of the Subscriber Classes. *Id.* ¶119, 125. He further finds that common evidence can be used to show that all of the Blue Plans admit that they have entered into an agreement to allocate geographic territories through ESAs, and further admit that they have agreed to enact NBE rules limiting unbranded competition. *Id.* ¶120-22. Dr. Rubinfeld finds that there is common evidence that all Blue Plan defendants, including Blue Cross Blue Shield of Alabama ("BCBS-AL"), are subject to these restraints. *Id.* ¶¶40, 60, 119.
- 8. Dr. Rubinfeld finds that there is common evidence that is consistent with an economic finding that, absent the restraints, other Blue Plans would have entered the Alabama market, whether on a Blue of Green basis, and offered health insurance to the Alabama Damages Class. *Id.* ¶126-30. BCBSA and Blue Plan documents describing Blue Plans' concerns that entry by rival Blue Plans would occur but for the imposition ESAs is common economic proof that Blue entry would have occurred. *Id.* ¶127. Similarly, documents produced in this litigation indicate that entry by rival Blue licensees on a "Green", or unbranded, basis would have occurred but-for the National Best Efforts restrictions is common economic proof of Green entry. *Id.* ¶130.
- 9. Dr. Rubinfeld also finds that, based on common economic proof, the restraints caused antitrust injury in the form of higher premiums, lack of additional health insurance products, and loss of innovation, citing real world examples from the Anthem-Cigna merger trial

in 2017 (and satellite litigation between Anthem and Cigna that went to trial in 2019). *Id.* ¶¶138-161; *id.* ¶¶83-105.

10. Nationwide Injunctive Class: Dr. Rubinfeld finds that a nationwide injunctive class is supported by common economic proof. First, he finds that common evidence is consistent with the nationwide uniformity of application of the challenged restraints to all Blue Plans, such that the Blue Plans act in a manner generally applicable to the nationwide injunctive class. *Id.* ¶165-66. Second, Dr. Rubinfeld finds that removal of the restraints would provide the benefit of more competition to the class as a whole. *Id.* ¶167.

Expert Report of Dr. Ariel Pakes

- 1. Ariel Pakes is the Thomas Professor of Economics in the Department of Economics at Harvard University, where he teaches courses in Industrial Organization, the Economics of the Health Care Industry, and Econometrics. He received a Ph.D from Harvard in 1979 and is the recipient of numerous professional awards, both in the United States and elsewhere. His research has focused on developing methods for empirically analyzing responses of markets to institutional and policy changes. He has authored a number of the seminal papers in this area (see, e.g., Kate Ho and Ariel Pakes, "Hospital Choices, Hospital Prices and Financial Incentives to Physicians," American Economic Review 104, no. 12 (2014): 3841-84; Kate Ho, Ariel Pakes, and Mark Shepard, "The evolution of health insurer costs in Massachusetts, 2010–2012," Review of Industrial Organization (2018): 1-21), as well as other recent papers focused specifically on healthcare markets. In addition, he has mentored over sixty students, many of whom are now leading researchers in Industrial Organization and related fields at prestigious institutions.
- 2. Dr. Pakes was asked by counsel for Subscribers to examine and model entry by Blue Plans into the state of Alabama (in which BCBS-AL now possesses a dominant market share) in a but-for world where the ESAs and the NBE did not exist. Dr. Pakes then calculates the resulting damages to members of the proposed Alabama Damages Class, broken down by individual, small group (2-50 employees) and medium group (51 to 200 employees) segments, as described in the relevant class certification motion and in Dr. Pakes' report. Pakes Report ¶18-26.
- 3. Entry into Alabama: Dr. Pakes finds that common economic evidence establishes that, absent the ESAs and NBE, one or more Blue Plans would have entered BCBS-AL's ESA, either on a Blue-branded or unbranded "Green" basis. *Id.* ¶5.

- 4. First, Dr. Pakes finds that the Alabama marketplace is highly concentrated due to BCBSAL's exceptionally large market share. *Id.* ¶27-40. He finds that BCBS-AL was highly profitable during the proposed Alabama Damages Class period (April 17, 2008 to December 31, 2013). *Id.* ¶41-48. He further finds that BCBS-AL offered a limited set of insurance products to its customers and did not use methods of paying providers which have proven cost effective. *Id.* ¶62-78. The absence of a variety of products and of cost-effective policies in the Alabama market would have made entry attractive to potential competitors. *Id.* ¶61, 71.
- 5. Moreover, Blue Plans not currently operating in Alabama had both the desire to expand into other geographies and the assets, infrastructure, and experience to successfully enter Alabama. *Id.* ¶¶106-124. This is true whether or not the entry would have been as a "Blue" or "Green" health insurer. *Id.* ¶¶125-26. In particular, Anthem (via BCBS-GA, a neighboring Blue Plan) was a particularly likely entrant. *Id.* ¶¶142-46.
- 6. <u>Benefits of Competition</u>: Dr. Pakes also finds that, absent ESAs and NBEs, entry into the Alabama market would increase competition among insurers. *Id.* ¶7. As Dr. Pakes notes, it is a fundamental precept of economics that entry disciplines prices (for the Subscriber Plaintiffs, premiums), thus preventing firms from obtaining supernormal profits. *Id.*; see also id. ¶150-52. He opines that by preventing entry and reducing competition, ESAs and NBEs have harmed consumers.
- 7. Dr. Pakes finds that restraints on entry have non-premium economic effects as well. *Id.* ¶8. Competition has the effect of encouraging innovation, and barriers to entry both dull the incentives for the incumbent to innovate and bar innovative firms from entering the market. *Id.*; *id.* ¶¶82-84. These barriers also limit the choices available to populations of consumers with different needs. *Id.* ¶85. When Dr. Pakes, calculates the likely effect of entry into the Alabama

market on Subscriber premiums, he notes that his calculation does not consider the additional benefits of innovation and product diversity that would likely accompany entry. *Id.* ¶86. As a result, Dr. Pakes' estimates provide a lower bound to the likely benefits of entry. *Id.*; see also id. ¶267.

- 8. <u>Modeling the Effects of Entry</u>: To calculate the impact of entry on premiums, Dr. Pakes uses the two analytical frameworks that have dominated the economic literature of the effects of competition on premiums: (1) a reduced form analysis and (2) structural methods. *Id*. ¶9.
- 9. The reduced form approach uses regression analysis to examine the relationship between insurer concentration and premiums. *Id.* ¶149. It provides a set of coefficients that relate the characteristics of the market in different regions (including measures of concentration) to premiums for coverage in those regions. *Id.* ¶10. Dr. Pakes uses the model and coefficients estimated by Erin E. Trish and Bradley J. Herring ("How do health insurer market concentration and bargaining power with hospitals affect health insurance premiums?," *Journal of health economics* 42 (2015): 104-114) which is the most detailed published reduced form analysis of health insurance markets of which Dr. Pakes is aware. *Id.* ¶92. He applies this model to the relevant characteristics of the Alabama market prior to and after entry to calculate the resulting change in premiums. *Id.* ¶93, 153-69.
- Dr. Pakes' reduced form model provides common evidence that, for the Alabama Damages Class, premiums for all or virtually all Class members would fall by about 3.4% to 5.5% upon entry, depending upon the market share attained by the entrant, with the smaller impact resulting from an entrant that obtains a market share of 20% and the larger impact from an entrant that obtains a 45% market share. *Id.* ¶157, 163, Table 17.

- 11. Dr. Pakes also conducts a structural analysis of entry. He uses Alabama-specific data and a behavioral economic model of the relationships among the participants in the health insurance market in Alabama to predict what premiums would have been had there been entry.

 Id. ¶95-99, 170-71. The structural model follows the most detailed published structural model of the health insurance available, that of Kate Ho and Robin S. Lee. See "Insurer competition in health care markets," Econometrica 85, no. 2 (2017): 379-417. Id. ¶173.
- Dr. Pakes models entry on both a Blue and a Green basis. For each, he estimates Alabama-specific models of consumer demand and health care costs, then uses the estimated model to calculate premiums before and after entry of the Blue or Green plan. *Id.* ¶206-50. Using this approach, he finds that entry would reduce premiums for all or virtually all of the Subscriber Class. ¶270.
- 13. These premium reductions are consistent with the results of the reduced form analysis, which provides a cross-check on Dr. Pakes' structural model. Dr. Pakes calculates total damages in excess of \$400 million. *Id.* Tables 28 & 29.
- 14. Based on Dr. Pakes' analysis, he opines that all or virtually all of the Alabama Damages Class was injured by the restraints on entry of the BCBSA. *Id.* ¶12.

This the 15th day of April, 2019

Charles J. Cooper – Co-Chair, Written Submissions Committee

COOPER & KIRK, PLLC

1523 New Hampshire Avenue NW

Washington, DC 20036 Tel: (202) 220-9600

Fax: (202) 220-9601

ccooper@cooperkirk.com

David Boies - Co-Lead Counsel

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP

333 Main Street

Armonk, NY 10504

Tel: (914) 749-8200

Fax: (914) 749-8300 dboies@bsfllp.com

David Guin – Co-Chair, Written Submissions Committee

Tammy Stokes – *Damages Committee* GUIN, STOKES & EVANS, LLC

300 Richard Arrington Jr. Blvd. North

Suite 600/Title Building

Birmingham, AL 35203 Tel: (205) 226-2282

Fax: (205) 226-2357

davidg@gseattorneys.com

tammys@gseattorneys.com

Gregory Davis - Settlement Committee &

PSC Member

DAVIS & TALIAFERRO, LLC

7031 Halcyon Park Drive Montgomery, AL 36117

Tel: (334) 832-9080

Fax: (334) 409-7001

gldavis@knology.net

Chris T. Hellums – *Local Facilitating Counsel* PITTMAN, DUTTON & HELLUMS, P.C.

2001 Park Place N, 1100 Park Place Tower

Birmingham, AL 35203 Tel: (205) 322-8880

Fax: (205) 328-2711

chrish@pittmandutton.com

Michael Hausfeld – Co-Lead Counsel

Swathi Bojedla

HAUSFELD LLP

1700 K Street NW, Suite 650

Washington, DC 20006

Tel: (202) 540-7200

Fax: (202) 540-7201

mhausfeld@hausfeldllp.com

sbojedla@hausfeldllp.com

William A. Isaacson - Settlement Committee &

PSC Member

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP

1401 New York Ave, NW

Washington, DC 20005

Tel: (202) 237-2727

Fax: (202) 237-6131

wisaacson@bsfllp.com

Megan Jones – Settlement Committee & PSC

Member

Arthur Bailey - Discovery Committee

HAUSFELD LLP

600 Montgomery Street, Suite 3200

San Francisco, CA 94111

Tel: (415) 633-1908

Fax: (415) 358-4980

mjones@hausfeldllp.com

abailey@hausfeldllp.com

Kathleen Chavez – Settlement Committee & PSC Member

FOOTE, MIELKE, CHAVEZ & O'NEIL,

LLC

10 West State Street, Suite 200

Geneva, IL 60134 Tel: (630) 797-3339 Fax: (630) 232-7452 kcc@fmcolaw.com

Eric L. Cramer – Expert Committee BERGER & MONTAGUE, P.C. 1818 Market Street, Suite 3600

Philadelphia, PA 19103 Tel: 1-800-424-6690 Fax: (215) 875-4604 ecramer@bm.net

Patrick Cafferty – *Discovery Committee* CAFFERTY CLOBES MERIWETHER & SPRENGEL LLP

220 Collingwood, Suite 130

Ann Arbor, MI 48104 Tel: (734) 769-2144 Fax: (734) 769-1207

pcafferty@caffertyclobes.com

Douglas Dellaccio – Litigation Committee

CORY WATSON, P.C. 2131 Magnolia Avenue, Suite 200

Birmingham, AL 32505 Tel: (205) 328-2200 Fax: (205) 324-7896 ddellaccio@cwcd.com Cyril V. Smith – Settlement Committee & PSC Member

ZUCKERMAN SPAEDER, LLP 100 East Pratt Street, Suite 2440 Baltimore, MD 21202-1031

Tel: (410) 949-1145 Fax: (410) 659-0436 csmith@zuckerman.com

Richard Feinstein – Expert Committee
Hamish P.M. Hume – Discovery Committee
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP

1401 New York Ave, NW Washington, DC 20005 Tel: (202) 237-2727 Fax: (202) 237-6131 rfeinstein@bsfllp.com

Bryan Clobes – Litigation Committee
Ellen Meriwether – Written Submissions
Committee

Committee

CAFFERTY CLOBES MERIWETHER &

SPRENGEL LLP 205 N. Monroe St. Media, PA 19063 Tel: (215) 864-2800

hhume@bsfllp.com

Fax: (215) 864-2810

<u>bclobes@caffertyclobes.com</u> <u>emeriwether@caffertyclobes.com</u>

Chris Cowan - Damages Committee

THE COWAN LAW FIRM 4500 N Versailles Ave Dallas, TX 75205-3015 Tel: (214) 826-1900

Fax: (214) 826-8900 chris@cowanlaw.net

Edwin J. Kilpela, Jr.
Benjamin Sweet – *Litigation Committee*CARLSON LYNCH SWEET KILPELA &
CARPENTER LLP
1133 Penn Avenue, 5th Floor
Pittsburgh, PA 15222

Tel: 412-322-9243 Fax: 412-231-0246

ekilpela@carlsonlynch.com bsweet@carlsonlynch.com

Charles T. Caliendo – Class Certification

Committee

GRANT & EISENHOFER 485 Lexington Avenue, 29th Floor New York, NY 10017

Tel: (646) 722-8500 Fax: (646) 722-8501 ccaliendo@gelaw.com

Daniel Gustafson – Litigation Committee
Daniel C. Hedlund – Damages Committee
GUSTAFSON GLUEK PLLC
120 South Sixth Street, Suite 2600

Minneapolis, MN 55402 Tel: (612) 333-8844 Fax: (612) 339-6622

dgustafson@gustafsongluek.com dhedlund@gustafsongluek.com

John Saxon – *Litigation Committee*JOHN D. SAXON, P.C.
2119 3rd Avenue North
Birmingham, AL 35203-3314

Tel: (205) 324-0223 Fax: (205) 323-1583

jsaxon@saxonattorneys.com

Robert M. Foote – *Damages Committee* FOOTE, MIELKE, CHAVEZ & O'NEIL, LLC 10 West State Street, Suite 200 Geneva, IL 60134 Tel: (630) 797-3339

Tel: (630) 797-3339 Fax: (630) 232-7452 rmf@fmcolaw.com

Robert Eisler – *Discovery Committee* GRANT & EISENHOFER

123 Justison Street, 17th Floor Wilmington, DE 19801

Tel: (302) 622-7000 Fax: (302) 622-7100 reisler@gelaw.com

Brent Hazzard - Litigation Committee

HAZZARD LAW, LLC 447 Northpark Drive Ridgeland, MS 39157 Tel: (601) 977-5253 Fax: (601) 977-5236

brenthazzard@yahoo.com

Lawrence Jones - Damages Committee

JONES WARD PLC

1205 E. Washington St., Suite 111

Louisville, KY 40202 Tel: (502) 882-6000 larry@jonesward.com

-

Andrew Lemmon – Chair, Discovery

Committee

LEMMON LAW FIRM 15058 River Road PO Box 904

Hahnville, LA 70057

Tel: (985) 783-6789 Fax: (985) 783-1333

andrew@lemmonlawfirm.com

Robert Methvin – Chair, Settlement

Committee

James M. Terrell – Class Certification

Committee

METHVIN, TERRELL, YANCEY,

STEPHENS & MILLER, P.C.

The Highland Building

2201 Arlington Avenue South

Birmingham, AL 35205

Tel: (205) 939-0199

Fax: (205) 939-0399

rgm@mmlaw.net

iterrell@mmlaw.net

Virginia Buchanan – Chair, Class Certification

Committee

LEVIN PAPANTONIO THOMAS MITCHELL

RAFFERTY & PROCTOR, P.A.

316 South Baylen Street, Suite 600

Pensacola, FL 32502

Tel: (850) 435-7000

Fax: (850) 435-7020

vbuchanan@levinlaw.com

Michael McGartland - Class Certification

Committee

MCGARTLAND LAW FIRM, PLLC

1300 South University Drive, Suite 500

Fort Worth, TX 76107

Tel: (817) 332-9300

Fax: (817) 332-9301

mike@mcgartland.com

H. Lewis Gillis - Co-Head Chair, Litigation

Committee

MEANS GILLIS LAW, PC

60 Commerce Street, Suite 200

Montgomery, AL 36104

Tel: 1-800-626-9684

hlgillis@tmgslaw.com

David J. Hodge - Chair, Settlement Committee

MORRIS, KING & HODGE

200 Pratt Avenue NE

Huntsville, AL 35801

Tel: (256) 536-0588

Fax: (256) 533-1504

dhodge@mkhlawyers.com

Dianne M. Nast - Class Certification

Committee

NASTLAW LLC

1101 Market Street, Suite 2801

Philadelphia, PA 19107

Tel: (215) 923-9300

Fax: (215) 923-9302

dnast@nastlaw.com

Patrick W. Pendley - Chair, Damages Committee

Christopher Coffin – State Liaison Committee

PENDLEY, BAUDIN & COFFIN, LLP

Post Office Drawer 71

Plaquemine, LA 70765

Tel: (225) 687-6369

Fax: (225 687-6398

pwpendley@pbclawfirm.com

ccoffin@pbclawfirm.com

Edgar D. Gankendorff - Co-Head Chair,

Litigation Committee

Eric R.G. Belin – *Damages Committee* PROVOSTY & GANKENDORFF, LLC

650 Poydras Street, Suite 2700

New Orleans, LA 70130 Tel: (504) 410-2795 Fax: (504) 410-2796

egankendorff@provostylaw.com

ebelin@provostylaw.com

Richard Rouco – Written Submissions Committee

QUINN, CONNOR, WEAVER, DAVIES &

ROUCO LLP

2 20th Street North, Suite 930 Birmingham, Alabama 35203

Tel: (205) 870-9989 Fax: (205) 803-4143 rrouco@gcwdr.com

Garrett Blanchfield - Written Submissions

Committee

REINHARDT, WENDORF &

BLANCHFIELD

W-1050 First National Bank Building

332 Minnesota Street

St. Paul, MN 55101 Tel: (651) 287-2100

Fax: (651) 287-2100

g.blanchfield@rwblawfirm.com

Jason Thompson – Damages Committee

SOMMERS SCHWARTZ

One Towne Square, 17th Floor

Southfield, MI 48076

Tel: (248) 355-0300

jthompson@sommerspc.com

Larry McDevitt - Chair, Class Certification Committee

David Wilkerson – Discovery Committee

VAN WINKLE LAW FIRM

11 North Market Street

Asheville, NC 28801 Tel: (828) 258-2991

lmcdevitt@vwlawfirm.com dwilkerson@vwlawfirm.com Carl S. Kravitz – *Expert Committee* ZUCKERMAN SPAEDER LLP 1800 M Street NW, Suite 1000 Washington, DC 20036-5807

Tel: (202) 778-1800 Fax: (202) 822-8106 ckravitz@zuckerman.com

Subscriber Plaintiff Co-Lead Counsel and Committee Chairs and Members

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on April 15, 2019, the foregoing was manually filed under seal with the Clerk of Court, and was served via electronic mail on the following counsel for other Defendants who have been designated to receive service through agreement of the parties. A redacted version was served on all counsel of record via CM/ECF.

Carl S. Burkhalter MAYNARD COOPER & GALE PC 1901 Sixth Avenue North 2400 Regions Harbert Plaza Birmingham, AL 35203 Telephone: (205) 254-1000 cburkhalter@maynardcooper.com	Kimberly R. West Mark H. Hogewood WALLACE JORDAN RATLIFF & BRANDT LLC First Commercial Bank Building 800 Shades Creek Parkway, Suite 400 PO Box 530910
	Birmingham, AL 35253 Telephone: (205) 870-0555 kwest@wallacejordan.com mhogewood@wallacejordan.com
Craig A. Hoover E. Desmond Hogan HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP Columbia Square 555 Thirteenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20004 Telephone: (202) 637-5600 craig.hoover@hoganlovells.com desmond.hogan@hoganlovells.com	Daniel E. Laytin David Zott Helen Witt KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 300 North LaSalle Chicago, IL 60654 Telephone: (312) 862-2000 daniel.laytin@kirkland.com dzott@kitkland.com hwitt@kirkland.com
Evan Chesler Karen Demasi CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP Worldwide Plaza 825 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10019-7475 Telephone: (212) 474-1000 echesler@cravath.com kdemasi@cravath.com	Kathleen Taylor Sooy CROWELL & MORING LLP 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 Telephone: (202) 624-2500 ksooy@crowell.com
John M. Johnson LIGHTFOOT, FRANKLIN, & WHITE LLC 400 20th Street North	

Case 2:13-cv-20000-RDP Document 2411-1 Filed 04/15/19 Page 16 of 16

Birmingham, Alabama 35203 Telephone: (205) 581-0700 jjohnson@lightfootlaw.com

Chris T. Hellums

Local Facilitating Counsel for Subscriber Plaintiffs